

**Meta-Table 1: Characteristics of Different Sanitation Flows**

Parameter		Units	Urine (Fresh)	Urine (Stored)	Urine (Fresh)	Faeces	Faeces	Domestic Wastewater	Municipal Wastewater	Septic sludge	Effluent from septic tanks and aqua privies	WWTP sludge	Primary sludge	Secondary sludge	Untreated activated sludge
<b>1. Total solids</b>		mg/L			82	140,000-370,000 (14-37%)		390-1,230			46-820		60,000 (6%)	40,000 (4%)	10,000 (1%)
<b>2. Volatile solids</b>		% TS				84-93							65	40	59-88
<b>3. Chemical Oxygen Demand</b>		mg/L O <sub>2</sub>	10,000	10,000	6,270-17,500	46,230-78,310		250-800	500-1,200	6,000-90,000	360-452	500-2,500			
<b>4. Biochemical Oxygen Demand</b>		mg/L O <sub>2</sub>				1,792-4,288		110-350	230-560	2,000-30,000	90-295	20-250			
Nitrogen (as N)	<b>5.Organic</b>	mg/L						20-70	10-15						
	<b>6. Free ammonia</b>	mg/L	480	8,100	125-600			8-25	20-75	50-150	12-131	2-168			
	<b>7. Nitrates</b>	mg/L						0	0.1-0.5	<1					
	<b>8. Nitrites</b>	mg/L						0		<1					
<b>9. Total Nitrogen (as N)</b>		mg/L	9,200	9,200	4,000-13,900			20-70	30-100	200-1,500		32-250	1,500	1,200	320
Phosphorous (as P)	<b>10.Organic</b>	mg/L			250-1,800			1-10	2-10						
	<b>11.Inorganic</b>	mg/L						3-10	4-15		8-17 (form?)				
<b>12.Total Phosphorous (as P)</b>		mg/L	740	540	350-2,500	1,770-9,860		4-12	6-25	40-300	8-20	9-63	960	1,000	690
<b>13. Total coliforms</b>		CFU/100 ml						10 <sup>6</sup> -10 <sup>10</sup>	10 <sup>11</sup> -10 <sup>13</sup>		10 <sup>6</sup>				
<b>14.Faecal coliforms</b>		CFU/100 ml					10 <sup>10</sup>	10 <sup>3</sup> -10 <sup>8</sup>		10 <sup>6</sup> -10 <sup>8</sup>	10 <sup>6</sup>	10 <sup>4</sup> -10 <sup>5</sup>			
<b>15.E. coli</b>		CFU/100 ml							10 <sup>6</sup> -10 <sup>8</sup>						
<b>Source</b>			Udert et al., 2003	Udert et al., 2003	Rose et al, 2015	Rose et al, 2015	Ashbolt et al., 2001	Metcalf & Eddy, 2003	Henze et al.,2008	Henze et al.,2008	Watt,2003	Strande et al., 2014	Metcalf & Eddy, 2003	Metcalf & Eddy, 2003	Metcalf & Eddy, 2003

Key: FS = faecal sludge

**Sources:**

N. J. Ashbolt, W.O.K. Grabow &amp; M. Snozzi (2001) Water Quality: Guidelines, Standards and Health. London: World Health Organization/IWA Publishing. Indicators of microbial water quality; pp. 289–314.

M. Henze, M.C.M. van Loosdrecht, G.A. Ekama &amp; D. Brdjanovic (2008) Biological Wastewater Treatment: Principles, Modelling and Design

Metcalf &amp; Eddy, Inc. (1991). Wastewater Engineering: Treatment, Disposal, and Reuse. New York :McGraw-Hill,

C. Rose, A. Parker, B. Jefferson &amp; E. Cartmell (2015) The Characterization of Feces and Urine: A Review of the Literature to Inform Advanced Treatment Technology. Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology, 45:17, 1827-1879.

L. Strande., M. Roteltap &amp; Bradjanovic (2014) Faecal Sludge Management. IWA Publishing, UK.

K.M. Udert, T.A. Larsen, M. Biebow , W. Gujer (2003). Urea hydrolysis and precipitation dynamics in a urine-collecting system. Water research 37, 2571–2582.

S. B.,Watt (2003) Septic Tanks and Aqua privies from Ferrocement. ITDG Publishing, UK.

**Meta-Table 2: Characteristics of Different Sanitation Flows (Faecal Sludge)**

Parameter	Units	FS: Pit Latrine	FS: Public Septic Tanks	FS: Private Septic Tanks	FS: (dry) VIP Latrines	FS: Pit Latrines	FS: Private Septic Tanks	FS: Public Septic Tanks	FS: Septic Tanks	FS: Septic Tanks	FS: Septic Tanks	FS: Vacuum Tankers	FS: Septic Tanks & Pit Latrine	FS: Public toilet	FS: Septic tank	Septic sludge	
		<b>Kumasi, Ghana</b>			Durban, SA	Nakuru, Kenya	Mzuzu, Malawi	<b>Accra, Ghana</b>		Bangkok, Ghana	Manila, Philippines	USA	Hanoi, Vietnam	Kampala, Uganda	<b>Not stated</b>		<b>Not stated</b>
<b>Number of samples</b>		30	30	30	10	132	20	60		15	15	EPA data	Not stated	76	Not stated		Not stated
<b>1.Total solids</b>	mg/L	46,800 (4.68%)	19,000 (1.90%)	9,800 (0.98%)	190,000 (19%)		50,000- 90,000 (5-9%)	11,900	52,500	16,000	72,000	38,800	5,020- 71,007	833- 121,601	30,000- 52,000	12,000- 35,000	
<b>2. Volatile solids</b>	% TS				90			60	69	69	76	65	66-73	45-99	65	45	
<b>3. Chemical Oxygen Demand</b>	mg/L	45,611	26,765	9,495		72,000- 176,000	20,180- 22,310	7,800	49,000	14,000	37,000	43,000	4,233- 83,000	742- 100,017	20,000- 50,000	1,200- 10,000	6,000- 90,000
<b>4. Biochemical Oxygen Demand</b>						11,000- 39,500	2,820- 3,110	600- 1,500	7,600		3,800	5,000			7,600	840- 2,600	2,000- 30,000
Nitrogen (as N)	<b>5.Organic</b>	mg/L															
	<b>6. Free ammonia</b>	mg/L				1,300- 5,100	255-331							2,000- 5,000	150- >1,000	50-150	
	<b>7. Nitrates</b>	mg/L													0.2-21		
	<b>8. Nitrites</b>	mg/L															
<b>9. Total Nitrogen (as N)</b>	mg/L	4,479	1,396	649		1,717- 5,497								131- 4 ,880			200- 1,500
Phosphorous (as P)	<b>10.Organic</b>	mg/L															
	<b>11.Inorganic</b>	mg/L															
<b>12.Total Phosphorous (as P)</b>	mg/L	521	228	137		613- 4,927	249-359							6-2,040	450	150	40-300
<b>13. Total coliforms</b>	CFU/100 ml																
<b>14.Faecal coliforms</b>	CFU/100 ml														10 <sup>5</sup>		10 <sup>6</sup> -10 <sup>8</sup>
<b>15.E. coli</b>	CFU/100 ml						10 <sup>4</sup>										
<b>Source</b>		Franyin-Martin et al., 2017			Zuma et al., 2015	Gudda et al., 2017	Kalula et al., ND	Heinss et al., 1999				Schoebitz., et al., 2014	Schoebitz., et al., 2014	Strande et al., 2014		Henze et al., 2008	

Key: FS = faecal sludge

**Sources:**Fanyin-Martin A., Tamakloe W., Antwi E., Ami J., Awarikabey E., Apatti J., Mensah M., Chandran K. (2017) Chemical characterization of faecal sludge in the Kumasi metropolis, Ghana. *Gates Open Res* 2017, 1:12Gudda, F. O., Moturi, W. N., Omondi, S. O., & Muchiri, E. W. (2017). Analysis of physicochemical characteristics influencing disposal of pit latrine sludge in Nakuru Municipality, Kenya. *African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 11(3), 139-145.

Heinss u., Larmie S. A., Stauss M (1999). Characterisation of Faecal Sludges and their Solid Liquid Separation. Report EAWAG/SANDEC.

[https://www.sswm.info/sites/default/files/reference\\_attachments/HEINSS%20et%20al%201994%20Characteristics%20of%20Faecal%20Sludges%20and%20their%20Solids-Liquid%20Seperation.pdf](https://www.sswm.info/sites/default/files/reference_attachments/HEINSS%20et%20al%201994%20Characteristics%20of%20Faecal%20Sludges%20and%20their%20Solids-Liquid%20Seperation.pdf) last accessed 07/05/2018Kalulua K., Thole B.,Thengolose A., Chikhwenda E., Kululanga G., (ND)Characterisation Of Pit Latrine Sludge From Informal Settlements In Mzuzu City In Malawi. [www.ywp-za.org/uploads/4/3/7/7/43773355/characterisation\\_of\\_pit\\_latrine\\_sludge\\_from\\_informal\\_settlements\\_in\\_mzuzu\\_city\\_in\\_malawi.pdf](http://www.ywp-za.org/uploads/4/3/7/7/43773355/characterisation_of_pit_latrine_sludge_from_informal_settlements_in_mzuzu_city_in_malawi.pdf) last accessed 07/05/2017

Henze M., van Loosdrecht M.C.M., Ekama G.A., &amp; Brdjanovic D. (2008) Biological Wastewater Treatment: Principles, Modelling and Design

Schoebitz L., Bassan M., Farre A., Vu T.H. A., Nguyen V. A., Strande L. (2014) FAQ: Faecal Sludge Quantification and Characterisation – field trials of methodology in Hanoi, Vietnam. 37<sup>th</sup> WEDC International Conference, Hanoi, Vietnam.

Schoebitz L., Bischoff T., Ddiba D., Okello F., Nakazibew R., Niwagaba C. B., Lohri C. R., Strande L. (2014) Results of Faecal Sludge Analysis in Kampala, Uganda: Pictures, Characteristics &amp; Qualitative Observations for 76 Samples. EAWAG/SANDEC.

[www.eawag.ch/fileadmin/Domain1/Abteilungen/sandec/publikationen/EWM/Laboratory\\_Methods/results\\_analyses\\_kampala.pdf](http://www.eawag.ch/fileadmin/Domain1/Abteilungen/sandec/publikationen/EWM/Laboratory_Methods/results_analyses_kampala.pdf) last accessed 04/05/2018

Strande L., M. Roteltap M., Bradjanovic D., (2014) Faecal Sludge Management. IWA Publishing, UK.

Zuma L., Velkushanova K., Buckley C. (2015) Chemical and Thermal Properties of VIP Latrine Sludge. *Water SA*. Vol. 41. Pp. 534-540.